

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,741	TATENO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P. Mehra	2617	

All Participants:

(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(2) Dexter Chang, Attorney, Regd. No. 44,071.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Discussed ambiguities in the limitations of claims 1 and 2

Claims discussed:

claims 1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed ambiguities in the limitations of claims 1 and 2 with reference to fig. 1. The details are set forth in the office action No agreement was reached..

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Inder Pal Mehra 7/23/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)